Lagrangian Fluid Technique to Study Nonlinear Plasma Dynamics

A thesis submitted to the Jadavpur University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in Science



by

Chandan Maity

Plasma Physics Division Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata - 700 064, India



December 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been a great pleasure and honour to work with Prof. Nikhil Chakrabarti in the field of plasma physics at Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics (SINP). I would like to express my heartiest gratitude towards him for his constant guidance, encouragement and support throughout my entire Ph.D. work, without which, this thesis would not have seen the light of the day.

I am grateful to Prof. M. S. Janaki who has been my guiding light in the world of research and directed me to work with Prof. Nikhil Chakrabarti.

Special thanks are also due to Prof. R. Pal, Prof. A. N. S. Iyengar, Prof. S. K. Saha, Prof. S. Raychaudhuri, Prof. N. R. Ray and Mr. S. Chowdhury for their numerous informative discussions with me during my tenure at SINP.

I would like to thank our collaborators Prof. H. Schamel of University of Beyreuth, Germany and Dr. S. Sengupta of Institute for Plasma Research, India for invaluable inputs throughout my Ph.D. work.

I am also indebted to Prof. M. Khan and Prof. R. Bhar of Jadavpur University, Kolkata and Prof. Samita Basu of SINP, without whose assistance this thesis would not have been submitted in due time.

I wish to thank the other members of our division Mr. S. Basu, Mr. M. Chattopadhyay, Mr. P. S. Bhattacharya, Mr. D. Chatterjee, Mr. A. Ram, Mr. D. Das, Mr. A. Bal, Mr. S. S. Sil and Mr. A. Betal for their efforts to make the division a better place.

My sincere gratitude goes out to my seniors Debjyoti Da, Anirban Da, Subir Da and Debarata Da who helped me a lot during the course of this study.

I thank my juniors Sudip, Abhijit, Sourav, Alpha, Abhik, Debajyoti, Pankaj and Sabuj and friend Anwesa for being extremely cooperative. I would like to acknowledge the support extended towards the literal improvement of this thesis work by Dr. Sima Chakrabarti of Maharani Kasiswari College, Kolkata and my friends Sombit, Daipayan, Abhijit and Bikash.

I also thank all the stuffs of SINP library and canteen for their facility and help.

Most of all, I would like to gratefully acknowledge all the members of my family, especially my 'sejokaka' for his immense encouragement and support throughout the entire period of my education.

Lastly, I would like to express my sincerest apologies for my inability to individually acknowledge many others, who might have directly or indirectly, helped me in the completion of this Ph.D. work.

Dedicated to My Parents and Uncles

ABSTRACT

A theoretical study to explore the dynamics of large-amplitude normal modes of a cold magnetized electron-ion plasma is presented in this thesis by using a Lagrangian fluid technique. A fruitful application of such a technique is shown to be instrumental in obtaining (exact) explicit space-time dependent solutions, especially in double species wave dynamics problems. The obtained large-amplitude solutions are shown to be capable of characterizing two interesting singular wave phenomena in plasmas, viz., wave-breaking and wave-collapse. These phenomena are singular in a sense that, at the wave-breaking or wave-collapse event, field variables lose their initial smoothness leading to the formation of singularities at a finite time. 'Phasemixing' phenomenon is seen as an underlying physical phenomenon which causes wave-breaking at arbitrary amplitudes. A number of physical processes which may induce mixing of phases of different parts of a wave have been discussed in detail. An inhomogeneity in the equilibrium magnetic field is identified as a novel source of phase-mixing in the excited 'electrostatic' normal modes of a magnetized plasma. The results of our investigations on wave-breaking in magnetized plasmas will be of relevance to particle energization and plasma heating in laboratory experiments and space plasma situations. On the other hand, based on exact nonstationary solutions, the collapse behavior of magnetosonic waves in a low-beta collisional magnetoplasma has been predicted. The relevance of our investigation of the magnetosonic wavecollapse process has been highlighted in the early stage of magnetic star formation.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. "Nonlinear lower-hybrid oscillations in cold plasmas",

Chandan Maity, Nikhil Chakrabarti, and Sudip Sengupta,

Phys. Plasmas 17, 082306 (2010); doi: 10.1063/1.3480644.

2. "Relativistic effects on nonlinear lower hybrid oscillations in cold plasma",

Chandan Maity, Nikhil Chakrabarti, and Sudip Sengupta,

J. Math. Phys. **52**, 043101 (2011); doi: 10.1063/1.3574354.

3. "Exact time-dependent nonlinear dispersive wave solutions in compressible magnetized plasmas exhibiting collapse",

Nikhil Chakrabarti, Chandan Maity, and Hans Schamel,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 145003 (2011); DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.145003.

4. "Nonlinear lower hybrid oscillations in a cold viscous plasma",

Chandan Maity and Nikhil Chakrabarti,

Phys. Plasmas 18, 124502 (2011); doi: 10.1063/1.3672004.

5. "Breaking of upper hybrid oscillations in the presence of an inhomogeneous magnetic field",

Chandan Maity, Nikhil Chakrabarti, and Sudip Sengupta,

Phys. Rev. E 86, 016408 (2012); DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.86.016408.

6. "Wave breaking phenomenon of lower-hybrid oscillations induced by a background inhomogeneous magnetic field",

Chandan Maity, Nikhil Chakrabarti, and Sudip Sengupta,

Phys. Plasmas **19**, 0102302 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.4757642.

7. "Collisional drag may lead to disappearance of wave-breaking phenomenon of lower hybrid oscillations",

Chandan Maity and Nikhil Chakrabarti,

Phys. Plasmas 20, 014501 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4773902.

8. "Phase mixing of upper hybrid oscillations in a cold inhomogeneous plasma placed in an inhomogeneous magnetic field",

Anwesa Sarkar, Chandan Maity, and Nikhil Chakrabarti,

Phys. Plasmas **20**, 052301 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4803654.

9. "Wave-breaking phenomena in a relativistic magnetized plasma",

Chandan Maity, Anwesa Sarkar, Padma Kant Shukla, and Nikhil Chakrabarti,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 215002 (2013); DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.215002.

10. "Phase-mixing of electrostatic modes in a cold magnetized electron-positron plasma",

Chandan Maity, Nikhil Chakrabarti, and Sudip Sengupta,

Phys. Plasmas **20**, 082302 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4817749.

11. "Nonstationary magnetosonic wave dynamics in plasmas exhibiting collapse",

Nikhil Chakrabarti, Chandan Maity, and Hans Schamel,

Phys. Rev. E 88, 023102 (2013); DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.88.023102.

12. "Nonlinear electron oscillations in a warm plasma",

Anwesa Sarkar, Chandan Maity, and Nikhil Chakrabarti,

Phys. Plasmas 20, 122303 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4840315.

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	on	1
	1.1	Brief H	Historical Overview and Motivation	2
		1.1.1	Significant Challenges in Nonlinear Plasma Physics	2
		1.1.2	Wave-Breaking as a Fundamental Topic in Nonlinear Plasma	
			Theory	3
		1.1.3	Ways to Study Nonlinear Plasma Dynamics Theoretically	4
		1.1.4	Brief Introduction to Eulerian and Lagrangian Fluid Description	4
		1.1.5	Why We Need a Lagrangian Fluid Technique?	6
		1.1.6	Fundamentals of Wave-Breaking in Nonlinear Plasma Theory	8
		1.1.7	Phase-Mixing Can Cause Wave-Breaking	11
		1.1.8	Motivation towards Studying Nonlinear Wave Dynamics in	
			Magnetized Plasmas	13
	1.2	Outlin	e of the Thesis	14
2	Nor	linear	lower-hybrid oscillations: Coherent wave motion	16
	2.1	Introd	uction	17
	2.2	Basic (equations and assumptions to describe lower-hybrid mode \ldots	18
	2.3	Linear	analysis	22
	2.4	Nonlin	ear analysis	24
		2.4.1	Analytic solutions in Eulerian variables	30
	2.5	Summ	ary	33

3	Rel	ativistic effects on nonlinear lower-hybrid oscillations: Phase-			
	mix	ing and wave-breaking	34		
	3.1	Introduction	35		
	3.2	Basic equations	37		
	3.3	Nonlinear analysis	38		
		3.3.1 Homotopy perturbation method and approximate solution \therefore	40		
	3.4	Summary	47		
4	Phase-mixing and breaking of upper-hybrid modes in presence of				
	an i	nhomogeneous magnetic field	49		
	4.1	Introduction	50		
	4.2	Basic equations	52		
	4.3	Linear analysis: Illustration of mode-coupling phenomenon $\ . \ . \ .$	53		
	4.4	Nonlinear analysis	57		
		4.4.1 Approximate solution using homotopy perturbation method .	60		
	4.5	Summary	65		
5	Nonstationary magnetosonic wave dynamics in plasmas exhibiting				
	coll	apse	67		
	5.1	Introduction	68		
	5.2	Basic equations to describe magnetosonic-type compressional disper-			
		sive Alfvén waves	70		
	5.3	Linear analysis	74		
	5.4	Nonlinear analysis	76		
		5.4.1 Solution \ldots	77		
		5.4.2 Analysis of exact solution	83		
	5.5	Solution by Lagrangian mass variable: A second proof of the wave-			
		collapse	91		

	5.6	A possible application: collapse of density and magnetic field as a		
		seed for magnetic star formation	. 97	
	5.7	Summary	. 99	
6	Cor	clusion and Future Work	100	
	6.1	Recapitulation of Chapter 'Introduction' $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. 100	
	6.2	Summary of the Results Presented	. 101	
	6.3	Prospects for the Future Work	. 103	
7	App	pendix: On the electrostatic approximation	109	
	7.1	Justification of electrostatic approximation for upper-hybrid modes	. 110	

List of Figures

2.1	Normalized plasma density n/n_0 as a function of kx , for various values	
	of $\omega_{lh}t$, with $\delta = 0.85$	29
3.1	Normalized plasma density n/n_0 as a function of kx , for various values	
	of $\omega_{lh}t$, with $\delta = 0.85$ and $u_0/c = 0.03$ (weakly relativistic case)	46
3.2	Normalized velocity field u/u_0 at the wave-breaking situation $\omega_{lh}t \sim$	
	$\pi/2$, with $\delta = 0.85$ and $u_0/c = 0.03$ (weakly relativistic case). Steep-	
	ening of the velocity field is noticeable	47
4.1	Normalized plasma density n_e/n_0 as a function of kx , for various val-	
	ues of $\omega_{uh0}t$, with $\delta = 0.2$, $\Delta = 0.2$ and $\alpha/k = 0.2$ (linear case). With	
	these parameter values, an approximate phase-mixing time becomes	
	$\omega_{uh0}t_{\rm mix} \sim 11$. The green line shows the profile at $\omega_{uh0}t = 0$, red line	
	at $\omega_{uh0}t = 11$, and blue line at $\omega_{uh0}t = 100$	56
4.2	Phase-space diagrams $(\phi_{\bar{\tau}}, \phi)$ for various values of $k\xi$ as indicated in	
	the figure with $\delta = 0.45, \Delta = 0.2, \text{and} \alpha/k = 0.2$ (exact case)	59
4.3	Phase-space diagrams $(\phi_{\bar{\tau}}, \phi)$ for various values of $k\xi$ as indicated in	
	the figure with $\delta = 0.45$, $\Delta = 0.2$, and $\alpha/k = 0.2$ (approximate case).	63
4.4	Normalized plasma density n_e/n_0 as a function of kx in wave-breaking	
	situation, with $\delta = 0.45, \Delta = 0.2$ and $\alpha/k = 0.2$ (nonlinear approxi-	
	mate case), corresponding to wave-breaking time $\omega_{uh0}t_{\rm wb}\sim 54.7.~$	65

5.2	Initial plasma density and magnetic field configuration similar to Har-	
	ris current sheet solution	83
5.3	Time evolution of the density and magnetic field in absence of disper-	
	sion and dissipation ($\epsilon = \eta = 0$). Figure shows singularity in density	
	and magnetic field arises at time $\pi/4$ in unit of Alfvén transit time	85
5.4	Time evolution of the density and magnetic field in absence of re-	
	sistivity $(\eta = 0)$ with finite dispersion $(\epsilon = 0.1)$. Figure shows that	
	dispersive effect (in fact, alone) is able to halt density collapse, but	
	not of the magnetic field collapse	87
5.5	Time evolution of the density and magnetic field in absence of dis-	
	persion ($\epsilon = 0$) with finite dissipation. Figure shows finite dissipation	
	can not stop singularity in density and magnetic field	88
5.6	Time evolution of the density and magnetic field in presence of both	
	dispersion and dissipation ($\epsilon = 0.1, \eta = 0.03$). Figure shows neither	
	finite dispersion nor resistivity can stop magnetic field singularity.	
	Whereas for finite dispersion density singularity is removed	89
5.7	Variation of actual time $t \ (= \tau)$ with respect to timelike auxiliary	
	variable θ for three different cases (i) $\epsilon = \eta = 0, t_c = 0.785$ (blue	
	line); (ii) $\epsilon = 0.1$, $\eta = 0$, $t_c = 0.721$ (red line); and (iii) $\epsilon = 0$,	
	$\eta = 0.03, t_c = 0.821$ (green line)	91